

**From:** [Hearings, OCD, EMNRD](#)  
**To:** [Salvidrez, Marlene, EMNRD](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: [EXT] Re: Case 21340  
**Date:** Monday, July 13, 2020 8:52:31 AM  
**Attachments:** [Bruce Letter 1.pdf](#)  
[Bruce Letter 2.pdf](#)

---

---

**From:** raleighgardener@earthlink.net <raleighgardener@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:33 PM  
**To:** Salvidrez, Marlene, EMNRD; Hearings, OCD, EMNRD  
**Cc:** sandra Wilbur; Lorraine Talley; Sharon T. Shaheen; jamesbruc@aol.com  
**Subject:** [EXT] Re: Case 21340

Hello,

My name is Renelda G. Wilbur and I sent an earlier email asking about the procedures to virtually attend the hearing on July 23, 2020. I have additional concerns about the hearing. I have been told that Attorney Bruce's certified letter to me is incorrect, that we had to submit a statement thirty days before the hearing instead of by July 16. Is this true?

If so, Mr. Bruce purposely sent the letter too late for me to respond to the OCD. Could I get an exception because of Mr. Bruce's malfeasance?

I sent a pre-hearing statement and had hoped to respond to the OCD as Mr. Bruce's assertions in his filing(s) are in effect non-factual, distorted, and outright lies. I am asking for a complete and permanent dismissal of any action concerning my property, Mr. Bruce, and Tap Rock. Taken at face value, if Mr. Bruce had problems getting a lease for Tap Rock it is because Mr. Bruce was the problem. My contention is that the proceedings are moot because Mr. Bruce basically invented and dreamed up the whole scenarios outlined in his pleadings.

I intend to contact the New Mexico bar association and register a complaint as Mr. Bruce has failed to adhere to established principles and policies governing attorneys. It is a basic tenant of law to know the parties of an action. This is indisputable. Mr. Bruce failed at the most basic level. Renelda Glynn Wilbur and Sandra Dene Wilbur are the only legal owners of the mineral rights of Section 19, 25S, 36E.

To summarize my pre-hearing statement: Mr. Bruce has not contacted the legal owners with an offer, so how can you enforce compulsory pooling?

1. Mr. Bruce list people in his certified letter as owners and

- they do not have a legal right to this property thus causing my sister and me irreputable harm.
2. Mr. Bruce is engaging in an abuse of process as he has failed to do due diligence to find the rightful owners and to contact the rightful owners or their attorney in an apparent effort to basically "steal" the rights.
  3. I never received any proposal or agreement to lease from Mr. Bruce or Tap Rock. He did send the certified letter so it leaves me to believe he purposely hid his intentions from me.

Another question, if I pursue a criminal complaint against Mr. Bruce and/or Tap Rock for what amounts to an ongoing criminal enterprise to deny me of my property rights, would that stop these proceedings? I am prepared to contact the New Mexico Attorney General and demand an investigation. I am also considering civil action as Mr. Bruce has abused this process and caused me financial and emotional distress. I would hope that this makes it to the OCD hearings and all cases 21340, 21341, and 21342 be summarily dismissed with prejudice.

Attached is Mr. Bruce's page 1 and 2 listing his invented owners. James Aubrey Skinner deed 39841 Book 307, Page 409 date 15 Dec. 1977 (New Mexico), Beryldene Skinner Wilbur will filed 1 Jun 1995, 95E226 (Onslow County, North Carolina)

Thanks,

Cases 21340, 21341, 21342

Renelda Wilbur

4705 Tolley Court

Raliegh, NC 27616

(919) 876-2034.